UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,341	01/13/2004	Mohammed N. Islam	074036.0132	3483
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 02/01/200 CS L.L.P.	,	EXAMINER	
2001 ROSS AV			HELLNER, MARK	
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>D.</i> 122.10, 111	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3663	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/01/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/01/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

glenda.orrantia@hotmail.com mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Author Commence	10/757,341	ISLAM, MOHAMMED N.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Hellner	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·— ·—	·					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-69</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>64-69</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-46,52-56 and 59-63</u> is/are rejected	•					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/757,341

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42–46, 52-56 and 59-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukatsu in view of Esterowitz et al.

Fukatsu teaches a near infrared light source comprising: means (8) for shifting the wavelength of an input light to a longer wavelength based on the Raman effect within the waveguide (paragraph 25 and figure 3).

The difference between claim 1 and Fukatsu is that the waveguide be ZBLAN and that the signal wavelength be in the mid-infrared range.

Esterowitz is cited to show that it was known at the time of the present application that amplification waveguides made of ZBLAN were of use to produce infrared signals for the purpose of medical examination.

It would have been obvious to have used a ZBLAN waveguide in the invention of Fukatsu when seeking to adapt the device to infrared amplification as suggested by Esterowitz et al.

Claims 43-45 recite wavelengths known to be part of the IR band.

Claim 46, 62 and 63 are taught by the ZBLAN waveguide disclosed by Esterowitz et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,341

Art Unit: 3663

Claims 52-56 recite properties readable on the pump source (1, paragraph 23) disclosed by Fukatsu.

Claims 59-61 are taught by use of the IR source of Fukatsu in a medical device as suggested by Esterowitz et al.

Claims 47-51, 57 and 58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 64-69 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-63 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3663

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 571 272 6981.

Mark Hellner

Primary Examiner

AU 3663

Mark Hellier